wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Juan Alberto Carranza-Haros	Case Number: <u>08-6057M</u>
present and	ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § was represented by counsel. I conclude be the defendant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on March 17, 2008. Defendant was by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
		FINDINGS OF FACT
I find by a pr	eponderance of the evidence that:	
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the l	United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the cha	rged offense, was in the United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to ap	pear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade la	w enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	of years imprisonment.
The at the time o	f the hearing in this matter, except as not	rial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour ed in the record. ONCLUSIONS OF LAW
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defend No condition or combination of condition of condition of conditions.	
a corrections appeal. The of the United	defendant is committed to the custody of spacility separate, to the extent practicable defendant shall be afforded a reasonable I States or on request of an attorney for the the United States Marshal for the purpose	the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour e Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the se of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. 5 AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS deliver a cop Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this	detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
IT IS Services suf	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to ficiently in advance of the hearing before the potential third party custodian.	o a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DA	TED this 18 th day of March, 200	8.
		Jan San San San San San San San San San S

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge